

# **Supplemental Material**

Journal of Climate

Future Changes in the Intensity and Duration of Marine Heat and Cold Waves: Insights from Coupled Model Initial-Condition Large Ensembles https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-23-0278.1

#### © Copyright 2024 American Meteorological Society (AMS)

For permission to reuse any portion of this work, please contact permissions@ametsoc.org. Any use of material in this work that is determined to be "fair use" under Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §107) or that satisfies the conditions specified in Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §108) does not require AMS's permission. Republication, systematic reproduction, posting in electronic form, such as on a website or in a searchable database, or other uses of this material, except as exempted by the above statement, requires written permission or a license from AMS. All AMS journals and monograph publications are registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (<u>https://www.copyright.com</u>). Additional details are provided in the AMS Copyright Policy statement, available on the AMS website (<u>https://www.ametsoc.org/PUBSCopyrightPolicy</u>).

1	
2	Supplemental Materials
3	
4	"Future changes in the Intensity and Duration of Marine Heat and Cold Waves:
5	Insights from Coupled Model Initial-Condition Large Ensembles"
6	
7	Clara Deser <sup>1,*</sup> , Adam S. Phillips <sup>1</sup> , Michael. A. Alexander <sup>2</sup> , Dillon J. Amaya <sup>2</sup> , Antonietta
8	Capotondi <sup>2,3</sup> , Michael G. Jacox <sup>2,4,5</sup> and James D. Scott <sup>2,3</sup>
9	
10	1 National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder CO
11	2 NOAA Physical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder CO
12	3 CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO
13	4 NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Monterey, CA
14	5 University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA
15	
16	Submitted to Journal of Climate
17	May 9, 2023
18	Revised October 8, 2023
19	
20	
21	Contents: 43 Supplemental Figures (Figs. S1-43).
22	
23	



- 26 Figure S1. Number of discrete MHW and MCW events per 31 years (average of 1970-2000,
- 27 2020-2050 and 2070-2100). (a,g) CESM2; (b,h) CanESM5; (c,i) GFDL-SPEAR; (d,j) MIROC6;
- (e,k) CESM1; (f,l) CanESM2; (g,o) MPI-ESM-LR. The number in the upper right of each panel
  denotes the average value over the global ocean.



32 Figure S2. As in Fig. S1 but for ENSO-neutral events. 



Figure S3. Composite MHW and MCW intensity (°C) during 1950-2020 from the 50-member
CanESM5 Large Ensemble and Observations. (a,g) Ensemble average; (b,h) Observations; (c,i)
Ensemble average minus Observations; (d,j) Ensemble maximum; (e,k) Ensemble minimum; (f,l)
Ensemble maximum minus minimum. Gray shading in (c,i) indicates that observations lie within
the 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile range of the CanESM5 Large Ensemble.



45 Figure S4. As in Fig. S3 but for the 30-member GFDL-SPEAR Large Ensemble.46



49 Figure S5. As in Fig. S3 but for the 50-member MIROC6 Large Ensemble.



Figure S6. As in Fig. S3 but for the 40-member CESM1 Large Ensemble.



Figure S7. As in Fig. S3 but for the 50-member CanESM2 Large Ensemble.



Figure S8. As in Fig. S3 but for the 100-member MPI-ESM-LR Large Ensemble.



Figure S9. Composite MHW and MCW duration (months) during 1950-2020 from the 50member CanESM5 Large Ensemble and Observations. (a,g) Ensemble average; (b,h)
Observations; (c,i) Ensemble average minus Observations; (d,j) Ensemble maximum; (e,k)
Ensemble minimum; (f,l) Ensemble maximum minus minimum. Gray shading in (c,i) indicates
that observations lie within the 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile range of the CanESM5 Large Ensemble.



Figure S10. As in Fig. S9 but for the 30-member GFDL-SPEAR Large Ensemble.



Figure S11. As in Fig. S9 but for the 50-member MIROC6 Large Ensemble.



**Figure S12.** As in Fig. S9 but for the 40-member CESM1 Large Ensemble.



Figure S13. As in Fig. S9 but for the 50-member CanESM2 Large Ensemble.



Figure S14. As in Fig. S9 but for the 100-member MPI-ESM-LR Large Ensemble.

#### CanESM5



91 92

Figure S15. Composite MHW and MCW intensity (°C) from the 50-member CanESM5 Large
Ensemble during (a,f) 1970-2000, (b,g) 2020-2050, (c,h) 2070-2100, and differences (d,i) 20202050 minus 1970-2000, and (e,j) 2070-2100 minus 1970-2000. Note that the color bar range is
twice as large in a-c, f-h compared to d-e, i-j. Gray shading in d-e, i-j indicates that the differences
are not statistically significant according to the False Discovery Rate applied to a 2-sided t-test at
the 95% confidence level.







**Figure S16.** As in Fig. S15 but for the 30-member GFDL-SPEAR Large Ensemble.

103

# MIROC6



**Figure S17.** As in Fig. S15 but for the 50-member MIROC6 Large Ensemble.

107

# CESM1





## CanESM2





#### **MPI-ESM-LR**





#### CanESM5



120 121

Figure S21. Composite MHW and MCW duration (months) from the 50-member CanESM5 Large Ensemble during (a,f) 1970-2000, (b,g) 2020-2050, (c,h) 2070-2100, and differences (d,i) 2020-2050 minus 1970-2000, and (e,j) 2070-2100 minus 1970-2000. Note that the color bar range is twice as large in a-c, f-h compared to d-e, i-j. Gray shading in d-e, i-j indicates that the differences are not statistically significant according to the False Discovery Rate applied to a 2sided t-test at the 95% confidence level.

**GFDL-SPEAR** 



- 131 Figure S22. As in Fig. S21 but for the 30-member GFDL-SPEAR Large Ensemble.
- 132

# MIROC6



- **Figure S23.** As in Fig. S21 but for the 50-member MIROC6 Large Ensemble.
- 136

# CESM1



- Figure S24. As in Fig. S21 but for the 40-member CESM1 Large Ensemble.

# CanESM2





## MPI-ESM-LR



- **Figure S26.** As in Fig. S21 but for the 100-member MPI-ESM-LR Large Ensemble.
- 148



151 Figure S27. Composite MHW and MCW intensity (°C) differences between 2020-2050 and 1970-

2000 for the ensemble-mean of each model Large Ensemble: (a,h) CESM2; (b,i) CanESM5; (c,j)
GFDL-SPEAR; (d,k) MIROC6; (e,l) CESM1; (f,m) CanESM2; (g,n) MPI-ESM-LR. Gray shading
indicates that the differences are not statistically significant according to the False Discovery Rate

applied to a 2-sided t-test at the 95% confidence level. The number in the upper right of each panel

denotes the fractional area (%) of significant differences (e.g., non-gray areas). Shading in panels

(h,p) show locations where at least two-thirds of the models show statistically significant values(pink for positive and blue for negative); the number in the upper right denotes the fractional area

159 (%) of the pink and blue shading.



**Figure S28.** As in Fig. S27 but for composite MHW and MCW duration (months).

#### CanESM5



165 166

**Figure S29.** Composite ENSO-neutral MHW and MCW intensity (°C) from the 50-member CanESM5 Large Ensemble during (a,f) 1970-2000, (b,g) 2020-2050, (c,h) 2070-2100, and differences (d,i) 2020-2050 minus 1970-2000, and (e,j) 2070-2100 minus 1970-2000. Note that the color bar range is twice as large in a-c, f-h compared to d-e, i-j. Gray shading in d-e, i-j indicates that the differences are not statistically significant according to the False Discovery Rate applied to a 2-sided t-test at the 95% confidence level.

**GFDL-SPEAR** 





## **MIROC6**





# CESM1





## CanESM2





#### **MPI-ESM-LR**





#### CanESM5



194 195

**Figure S35.** Composite ENSO-neutral MHW and MCW duration (months) from the 50-member CanESM5 Large Ensemble during (a,f) 1970-2000, (b,g) 2020-2050, (c,h) 2070-2100, and differences (d,i) 2020-2050 minus 1970-2000, and (e,j) 2070-2100 minus 1970-2000. Note that the color bar range is twice as large in a-c, f-h compared to d-e, i-j. Gray shading in d-e, i-j indicates that the differences are not statistically significant according to the False Discovery Rate applied to a 2-sided t-test at the 95% confidence level.

**GFDL-SPEAR** 



Figure S36. As in Fig. S35 but for the 30-member GFDL-SPEAR Large Ensemble.

# MIROC6





# CESM1



- **Figure S38.** As in Fig. S35 but for the 40-member CESM1 Large Ensemble.
- 214

## CanESM2



- **Figure S39.** As in Fig. S35 but for the 50-member CanESM2 Large Ensemble.
- 218

#### **MPI-ESM-LR**







**Figure S41.** Composite ENSO-neutral MHW and MCW intensity (°C) differences between

226 2020-2050 and 1970-2000 for the ensemble-mean of each model Large Ensemble: (a,h) CESM2;

227 (b,i) CanESM5; (c,j) GFDL-SPEAR; (d,k) MIROC6; (e,l) CESM1; (f,m) CanESM2; (g,n) MPI-

228 ESM-LR. Gray shading indicates that the differences are not statistically significant according to

the False Discovery Rate applied to a 2-sided t-test at the 95% confidence level. The number in  $(0/2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-$ 

the upper right of each panel denotes the fractional area (%) of significant differences (e.g., non-

gray areas). Shading in panels (h,p) show locations where at least two-thirds of the models showstatistically significant values (pink for positive and blue for negative); the number in the upper

right denotes the fractional area (%) of the pink and blue shading.



**Figure S42.** As in Fig. S41 but for duration (months).



MHW Intensity Change 2020-2050 - 1970-2000 (Variability/Total)

Figure S43. Ratio of mid-century changes (2020-2050 minus 1970-2000) in MHW and MCW
composite intensity due to changes in variability divided by that due to changes in variability-plusmean state for: (a,h) CESM2; (b,i) CanESM5; (c,j) GFDL-SPEAR; (d,k) MIROC6; (e,l) CESM1;
(f,m) CanESM2; (g,n) MPI-ESM-LR. Panels h,p show the multi-model ensemble (MME) average.
The number in the upper right of each panel denotes the fractional area (%) of values within the

- 246 range -0.1 to +0.1.
- 247